

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff, Counter-defendant

V.

APPLE INC.,

**Defendant,
Counterclaimant.**

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEFENDANT
APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO SEAL EXHIBITS PX-1017
AND EXHIBIT PX-2946**

1 Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) has filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Exhibits PX-1047
 2 and PX-2946 (the “Motion”). In support, Apple filed the supporting declaration of Rachel S. Brass.

3 Having considered the Motion, all associated declarations, exhibits, and any argument of
 4 counsel, and for good cause appearing:

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED**. Accordingly:

6 For the reasons articulated in the table below, selected portions of Exhibits PX-1047 and PX-
 7 2946 shall remain under seal.

| Trial Exhibit No. | Reason for Sealing and Evidence Offered in Support | Grant or Deny |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| PX-1017 | Contains non-public financial/business information that, if disclosed, would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage. Brass Decl. ¶¶ 6–7; <i>see also</i> Dkt. 614 at 6 (order sealing Cragg Rebuttal Figure 25 because it “contains Apple’s confidential financial information”); Dkt. 509-11 at 46 (unredacted Cragg Figure 25 containing same, now-sealed non-public Apple confidential financial information reflected in Exhibit PX-1017). | |
| PX-2946 | Contains non-public financial/business information that, if disclosed, would put Apple at a competitive disadvantage. Brass Decl. ¶¶ 6–7; <i>see also</i> Dkt. 614 at 5 (order sealing Cragg Rebuttal Figure 4 because it “contains Apple’s confidential business information”); Dkt. 509-11 at 17 (unredacted Cragg Figure 4 containing same, now-sealed non-public Apple confidential business information reflected in Exhibit PX-2946). | |

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
 22 DATED: _____, 2021

23
 24
 25
 26 The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
 27
 28 United States District Judge